

ON SOME FORBIDDEN CONFIGURATIONS FOR SELF-COMPLEMENTARY TRINUCLEOTIDE CIRCULAR CODES

LORENZO BUSSOLI^a, CHRISTIAN J. MICHEL^b
and GIUSEPPE PIRILLO^{c, d}

^aDipartimento di Matematica “U.Dini”
viale Morgagni 67/A
50134 Firenze, Italia
Email: bussoli@math.unifi.it

^bEquipe de Bioinformatique Théorique
BFO, LSIIT (UMR 7005)
Université de Strasbourg
Pôle API, Boulevard Sébastien Brant
67400 Illkirch, France
E-mail: michel@dpt-info.u-strasbg.fr

^cConsiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche
Istituto di Analisi dei Sistemi ed Informatica “Antonio Ruberti”
Unità di Firenze
Dipartimento di Matematica “U.Dini”
viale Morgagni 67/A
50134 Firenze, Italia
E-mail: pirillo@math.unifi.it

^dUniversité de Marne-la-Vallée
5 boulevard Descartes
77454 Marne-la-Vallée Cedex 2, France

Abstract

A self-complementary trinucleotide circular code has two permuted sets which are either both circular codes or both not circular codes.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 94A45.

Keywords: trinucleotide circular codes, self-complementary property, permuted circular sets.

Received July 6, 2011

1. Introduction

We continue our study of the combinatorial properties of maximum trinucleotide circular codes, i.e., circular codes with 20 trinucleotides. A trinucleotide is a word of three letters on the 4-letter alphabet $\{A, C, G, T\}$.

The set of $4^3 = 64$ trinucleotides is a code in the sense of language theory, more precisely a uniform code, but not a circular code. In order to have an intuitive meaning of these notions, codes are written on a straight line while circular codes are written on a circle, but, in both cases, unique decipherability is required.

In the past 50 years, circular codes have been studied in theoretical biology, mainly to understand the structure and the origin of the genetic code as well as the reading frame (construction) of genes, e.g., [6, 9, 10, 14]. In 1996, a circular code of 20 trinucleotides was identified statistically on two large and different gene populations, eukaryotes and prokaryotes [1]. Furthermore, this code has two properties: it is self-complementary and its two permuted sets are also circular codes. During the last years, circular codes are mathematical objects studied in discrete mathematics, theoretical computer science and theoretical biology, e.g. [2-4, 7, 8, 11-13, 15-25].

Among the 12, 964, 440 trinucleotide circular codes, only 528 of them are self-complementary [1, 18, 24]. New propositions are identified here with these 528 self-complementary trinucleotide circular codes.

The 528 self-complementary trinucleotide circular codes are divided into two classes: A class of 216 circular codes where each code has two permuted sets X_1 and X_2 which are circular codes [1, 18] and a class containing the remaining 312 circular codes, denoted $\overline{C^3}$, for which the circularity of the permuted sets X_1 and of X_2 were not investigated so far.

For the $\overline{C^3}$ class, three cases are possible:

- (i) X_1 is a circular code and X_2 is not a circular code;
- (ii) X_1 is not a circular code and X_2 is a circular code;
- (iii) X_1 and X_2 are not circular codes.

The main proposition of this paper will prove that only the case (iii) is verified. This result was obtained with a detailed identification of 51 “forbidden configurations” corresponding to 51 propositions which are collected in three groups (section Results). Thus, the 528 self-complementary trinucleotide circular codes are divided into two classes for which a certain symmetry holds. Indeed, even if these two classes have different cardinality (216 and 312), the first class contains 216 circular codes where X_1 and X_2 are both circular codes while the second class contains 312 circular codes where X_1 and X_2 are both non-circular codes.

2. Definitions

For the classical notions of alphabet, empty word, length, factor, proper factor, prefix, proper prefix, suffix, proper suffix, lexicographical order, we refer to [5]. Let $\mathcal{A}_4 = \{A, C, G, T\}$ denote the genetic alphabet, lexicographically ordered with $A < C < G < T$. We use the following notation:

- \mathcal{A}_4^* (respectively \mathcal{A}_4^+) is the set of words (respectively nonempty words) over \mathcal{A}_4 ;
- \mathcal{A}_4^2 is the set of the 16 words of length 2 (or diletters or dinucleotides) and
- \mathcal{A}_4^3 the set of the 64 words of length 3 (or triletters or trinucleotides).

We now recall two important genetic maps, the definitions of code and circular code [5, 14], and the C^3 self-complementary property of a circular code [1].

Definition 1. The complementary map $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A}_4^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_4^+$ is defined by $\mathcal{C}(A) = T$, $\mathcal{C}(T) = A$, $\mathcal{C}(C) = G$ and $\mathcal{C}(G) = C$ and by $\mathcal{C}(uv) = \mathcal{C}(v)\mathcal{C}(u)$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{A}_4^+$, e.g. $\mathcal{C}(AAC) = GTT$. This map on words is naturally extended to word sets: A complementary trinucleotide set is obtained by applying the complementary map \mathcal{C} to all its trinucleotides.

Definition 2. The circular permutation map $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{A}_4^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_4^3$ permutes circularly each trinucleotide $l_1 l_2 l_3$ as follows $\mathcal{P}(l_1 l_2 l_3) = l_2 l_3 l_1$. The k -th iterate of \mathcal{P} is denoted \mathcal{P}^k . This map on words is also naturally extended to word sets: A permuted trinucleotide set is obtained by applying the circular permutation map \mathcal{P} (or the k -th iterate of \mathcal{P}) to all its trinucleotides.

Definition 3. Code: A set X_0 of words is a code if, for each $x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_m \in X_0$, $n, m \geq 1$, the condition $x_1 \dots x_n = x'_1 \dots x'_m$ implies $n = m$ and $x_i = x'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We consider in this paper only codes consisting of trinucleotides.

Definition 4. Trinucleotide circular code: A set X_0 of trinucleotides is a trinucleotide circular code if, for each $x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_m \in X_0$, $n, m \geq 1$, $p \in \mathcal{A}_4^*$, $s \in \mathcal{A}_4^+$, the conditions $sx_2 \dots x_n p = x'_1 \dots x'_m$ and $x_1 = ps$ imply $n = m$, $p = \varepsilon$ (empty word) and $x_i = x'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition 5. A trinucleotide circular code X_0 is self-complementary if, for each $x \in X_0$, $\mathcal{C}(x) \in X_0$.

Definition 6. If X_0 is a trinucleotide circular code, we denote by X_1 the permuted trinucleotide set $\mathcal{P}(X_0)$ and by X_2 the permuted trinucleotide set $\mathcal{P}^2(X_0)$.

Definition 7. A trinucleotide circular code X_0 is C^3 self-complementary if $X_0, X_1 = \mathcal{P}(X_0)$ and $X_2 = \mathcal{P}^2(X_0)$ are circular codes satisfying the following properties: $X_0 = \mathcal{C}(X_0)$ (self-complementary), $\mathcal{C}(X_1) = X_2$ and $\mathcal{C}(X_2) = X_1$.

The concept of necklace was introduced by Pirillo [20] for circular codes in order to have an algorithmic characterization of circular codes. Let $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n-1}, l_n, \dots$ be letters in \mathcal{A}_4 , $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1}, d_n, \dots$ be diletters in \mathcal{A}_4^2 and $n \geq 2$ be an integer.

Definition 8. Letter Diletter Continued Necklaces (*LDCN*): We say that the ordered sequence $l_1, d_1, l_2, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1}, l_n, d_n, l_{n+1}$ is an $(n+1)LDCN$ for a subset $X \subset \mathcal{A}_4^3$ if $l_1 d_1, l_2 d_2, \dots, l_n d_n \in X$ and $d_1 l_2, d_2 l_3, \dots, d_{n-1} l_n, d_n l_{n+1} \in X$.

Proposition 1 [20]. *Let X be a trinucleotide code. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) X is a circular code.
- (ii) X has no 5LDCN.

There are 528 self-complementary circular codes (Table 3 in [24] and Table 2(d) in [1]). There are 216 C^3 self-complementary circular codes (Tables 4(a), 5(a) and 6(a) in [18] and Table 2(d) in [1]). Thus, there are $528 - 216 = 312$ circular codes which are self-complementary but not C^3 self-complementary. We denote them by $\overline{C^3}$. Using the three following propositions, we will prove that, for each $\overline{C^3}$ self-complementary circular code X_0 , the sets X_1 and X_2 have both a necklace 5LDCN, so nor X_1 neither X_2 are circular codes.

There are 28 self-complementary pairs of trinucleotides which are codified according to Table 1 (as in [18, 24]), giving to each pair the name of a letter of the English alphabet augmented by the two supplementary letters z' and z'' .

Table 1. The 28 self-complementary pairs of trinucleotides.

$a = \{AAC, GTT\}$	$b = \{AAG, CTT\}$	$c = \{AAT, ATT\}$	$d = \{ACA, TGT\}$
$e = \{ACC, GGT\}$	$f = \{ACG, CGT\}$	$g = \{ACT, AGT\}$	$h = \{AGA, TCT\}$
$i = \{AGC, GCT\}$	$j = \{AGG, CCT\}$	$k = \{ATC, GAT\}$	$l = \{ATG, CAT\}$
$m = \{CAA, TTG\}$	$n = \{CAC, GTG\}$	$o = \{CAG, CTG\}$	$p = \{CCA, TGG\}$
$q = \{CCG, CGG\}$	$r = \{CGA, TCG\}$	$s = \{CTA, TAG\}$	$t = \{CTC, GAG\}$
$u = \{GAA, TTC\}$	$v = \{GAC, GTC\}$	$w = \{GCA, TGC\}$	$x = \{GCC, GGC\}$
$y = \{GGA, TCC\}$	$z = \{GTA, TAC\}$	$z' = \{TAA, TTA\}$	$z'' = \{TCA, TGA\}$

3. Results

Proposition 2. *If a circular code X_0 contains one of the four doublets*

$$\alpha_1 = \{a, p\}, \quad \alpha_2 = \{b, y\}, \quad \alpha_3 = \{e, m\}, \quad \alpha_4 = \{j, u\}, \quad (3.1)$$

then neither its permuted set $X_1 = \mathcal{P}(X_0)$ nor its permuted set $X_2 = \mathcal{P}^2(X_0)$ are circular codes.

Proof. We prove the first case, the other cases being similar. If $\alpha_1 = \{a, p\} = \{AAC, GTT, CCA, TGG\} \subset X_0$ then $\mathcal{P}(\alpha_1) = \{ACA, TTG, CAC, GGT\} \subset X_1$. So, $A, CA, C, AC, A, CA, C, AC, A$ is a 5LDCN for $\mathcal{P}(\alpha_1)$, hence also for X_1 which, consequently, is not a circular code. Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}^2(\alpha_1) = \{CAA, TGT, ACC, GTG\} \subset X_2$ and $T, GT, G, TG, T, GT, G, TG, T$ is a 5LDCN for $\mathcal{P}^2(\alpha_1)$, hence also for X_2 which, consequently, is not a circular code.

Proposition 3. *If a circular code X_0 contains one of the 24 triplets*

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 &= \{a, r, w\}, \quad \beta_2 = \{a, s, z''\}, \quad \beta_3 = \{b, r, w\}, \\ \beta_4 &= \{b, z, z''\}, \quad \beta_5 = \{c, s, z''\}, \quad \beta_6 = \{c, z, z''\}, \\ \beta_7 &= \{e, l, s\}, \quad \beta_8 = \{e, o, r\}, \quad \beta_9 = \{f, i, m\}, \\ \beta_{10} &= \{f, i, u\}, \quad \beta_{11} = \{f, o, x\}, \quad \beta_{12} = \{f, o, y\}, \\ \beta_{13} &= \{g, k, m\}, \quad \beta_{14} = \{g, k, z'\}, \quad \beta_{15} = \{g, l, u\}, \\ \beta_{16} &= \{g, l, z'\}, \quad \beta_{17} = \{i, p, v\}, \quad \beta_{18} = \{i, q, v\}, \\ \beta_{19} &= \{j, k, z\}, \quad \beta_{20} = \{j, v, w\}, \quad \beta_{21} = \{k, p, z\}, \\ \beta_{22} &= \{l, s, y\}, \quad \beta_{23} = \{o, r, x\}, \quad \beta_{24} = \{q, v, w\},\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

then neither its permuted set $X_1 = \mathcal{P}(X_0)$ nor its permuted set $X_2 = \mathcal{P}^2(X_0)$ are circular codes.

Proof. We prove the first case, the other cases being similar. If $\beta_1 = \{a, r, w\} = \{AAC, GTT, CGA, TCG, GCA, TGC\} \subset X_0$ then $\mathcal{P}(\beta_1) = \{ACA, TTG, GAC, CGT, CAG, GCT\} \subset X_1$. So $A, CA, G, AC, A, CA, G, AC, A$ is a 5LDCN for $\mathcal{P}(\beta_1)$, hence also for X_1 which, consequently, is not a circular code. Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}^2(\beta_1) = \{CAA, TGT, ACG, GTC, AGC, CTG\} \subset X_2$ and $T, GT, C, TG, T, GT, C, TG, T$ is a 5LDCN for $\mathcal{P}^2(\beta_1)$, hence also for X_2 which, consequently, is not a circular code.

Proposition 4. *If a circular code X_0 contains one of the 23 quadruplets*

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &= \{a, h, j, r\}, \quad \gamma_2 = \{a, h, n, w\}, \quad \gamma_3 = \{a, h, n, z'\}, \quad \gamma_4 = \{a, l, n, y\}, \\ \gamma_5 &= \{b, d, e, w\}, \quad \gamma_6 = \{b, d, r, t\}, \quad \gamma_7 = \{b, d, t, z'\}, \quad \gamma_8 = \{b, k, p, t\}, \\ \gamma_9 &= \{c, d, t, u\}, \quad \gamma_{10} = \{c, h, m, n\}, \quad \gamma_{11} = \{d, e, l, t\}, \quad \gamma_{12} = \{d, e, q, t\}, \\ \gamma_{13} &= \{d, f, p, u\}, \quad \gamma_{14} = \{d, i, t, u\}, \quad \gamma_{15} = \{d, p, t, x\}, \quad \gamma_{16} = \{d, p, t, z\}, \\ \gamma_{17} &= \{e, s, t, u\}, \quad \gamma_{18} = \{f, h, m, n\}, \quad \gamma_{19} = \{h, j, k, n\}, \quad \gamma_{20} = \{h, j, n, x\}, \\ \gamma_{21} &= \{h, i, m, y\}, \quad \gamma_{22} = \{h, n, q, y\}, \quad \gamma_{23} = \{h, n, s, y\},\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

then neither its permuted set $X_1 = \mathcal{P}(X_0)$ nor its permuted set $X_2 = \mathcal{P}^2(X_0)$ are circular codes.

Proof. We prove the first case, the other cases being similar. If $\gamma_1 = \{a, h, j, r\} = \{AAC, GTT, AGA, TCT, AGG, CCT, CGA, TCG\} \subset X_0$ then $\mathcal{P}(\gamma_1) = \{ACA, TTG, GAA, CTT, GGA, CTC, GAC, CGT\} \subset X_1$. So, $C, TT, G, GA, C, TT, G, GA, C$ is a 5LDCN for $\mathcal{P}(\gamma_1)$, hence also for X_1 which, consequently, is not a circular code. Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}^2(\gamma_1) = \{CAA, TGT, AAG, TTC, GAG, TCC, ACG, GTC\} \subset X_2$. Then $G, TC, C, AA, G, TC, C, AA, G$ is a 5LDCN for $\mathcal{P}^2(\gamma_1)$, hence also for X_2 which, consequently, is not a circular code.

Table 2 reports the complete combinatorial study of the $312\overline{C^3}$ circular codes (self-complementary circular codes but not C^3). They are listed using the same order of Tables 4(b), 5(b) and 6(b) in [18].

Table 2. Complete combinatorial study of the 312 $\overline{C^3}$ circular codes. Only one “forbidden configuration” with one doublet (3.1), triplet (3.2) or quadruplet (3.3) is given for each $\overline{C^3}$ circular code.

$abcegikvxy$	α_2	$abcegivxyz''$	α_2	$acfgjhjopqz''$	α_1	$bcdegivxyz''$	α_2	$cdfglopstu$	β_{15}	$dgkpuvwxyz'$	β_{14}
$abcfgjlopq$	α_1	$abcegkvxy$	α_2	$acfghlnoqy$	β_{12}	$bcdfgikptx$	γ_8	$cdflopqstu$	β_9	$dhjpuvwxzz'z''$	β_{20}
$abcflopqst$	α_1	$abceikvxyz$	α_2	$acghijknvx$	γ_{19}	$bcdfopqstz''$	β_5	$cdghijkpvx$	β_{17}	$djkpuvwxxzz'$	α_4
$abcknvxyz$	α_2	$abceivxyz''$	α_2	$acghijkpvx$	α_1	$bcdfgikptvx$	β_{17}	$cdghijpvxz''$	β_{17}	$djopqrsuz'z''$	α_4
$abcknovxyz$	α_2	$abcekovxyz$	α_2	$acghijlnoq$	β_{18}	$bcfgijilmq$	α_3	$cdgijkpuvx$	α_4	$djpqsuvvwz'z''$	α_4
$abclopqstv$	α_1	$abcfgijlpq$	α_1	$acghijlpqv$	α_1	$bcefgilmqqt$	α_3	$cdgikptuvx$	β_{17}	$djpuvwxxzz'z''$	α_4
$acegijkuvx$	α_4	$abcfgijlpqz''$	α_1	$acghijpvxz''$	α_1	$bcefgilmqay$	α_2	$cefhgijkmx$	α_3	$dkpuvwxyz'$	β_{21}
$acfghijlpq$	α_1	$abcfgjlopqz''$	α_1	$acgijkunvx$	α_2	$bceffilmqqs$	α_3	$cefhgikmxy$	α_3	$dppqstuvwz'z''$	β_{24}
$acfghijlpqz''$	α_1	$abcfglnoqy$	α_2	$acgijkpuvx$	α_1	$bceflmoqsy$	α_2	$cefhilmqy$	α_3	$dptuvwxzz'z''$	γ_{15}
$achijkvnvxz$	β_{19}	$abcflopqst$	α_1	$acgikptuvx$	α_1	$acikptuvx$	α_1	$cefhjilmq$	α_3	$eghlmoqryz'$	α_3
$acijknvuxz$	α_4	$abcfjlops$	α_1	$achijkpvnzx$	α_1	$bcfgilmnoqy$	α_2	$cefhilmqy$	α_3	$eghmoqryz'$	α_3
$ahnrwxyz'z''$	β_1	$abcfjopqsz''$	α_1	$achijnvxz''$	β_6	$bcfjlmnoqy$	α_2	$cefgijkmux$	α_3	$eghmwxyz'z''$	α_3
$ahprwxyz'z''$	α_1	$abcfjlnoqy$	α_2	$achijpvxz''$	α_1	$bcgijlmnqv$	β_{18}	$cefgijlmqu$	α_3	$egkmtuvwxz'$	α_3
$akptuvwxzz'$	α_1	$abcfopqstz''$	α_1	$achinvxxyz''$	β_6	$bdektvwxxzz'$	γ_5	$cefgikmtux$	α_3	$egkmuvwxxyz'$	α_3
$apuvwxxyz'z''$	α_1	$abcfgijlpqv$	α_1	$acijskpuvzx$	α_1	$bdeoqrstz''$	β_8	$cefgijlmoqu$	α_3	$egmruvwxyz'z''$	α_3
$bcdefgikxy$	α_2	$abcgiknvx$	α_2	$bcfgijilmq$	β_9	$bdeoqrstyz''$	α_2	$cefgkmotux$	α_3	$egmuvwxyz'z''$	α_3
$bcdefgijxyz''$	α_2	$abcgikptvx$	α_1	$aehrwyxyz'z''$	β_1	$bdeqrstwz''$	β_3	$cefgilmqoatu$	α_3	$ehlmoqrsyz'$	α_3
$bcdefloqst$	β_7	$abcgjlopq$	α_1	$ahjlnoqrsz'$	γ_1	$bdeqrswyz''$	α_2	$ceflmoqstu$	α_3	$ehmoqrsyz'z''$	α_3
$bcecfgijlmq$	α_3	$abcgknovxy$	α_2	$ahjnoqrsz'z''$	β_2	$bderwxyz'z''$	α_2	$ceghijkmvx$	α_3	$ehmorxyz'z''$	α_3
$bcefjlmqst$	α_3	$abcglopqtv$	α_1	$ahjnrwxyz'z''$	β_1	$ahlnoqrsyz'$	β_{22}	$ceghikmvmx$	α_3	$ehmwxyz'z''$	α_3
$bdpqrstwz'z''$	β_3	$abci jknvxz$	β_{19}	$ahjnvwxzz'z''$	β_{20}	$bdevwxyz'z''$	α_2	$cegikmuvx$	α_3	$ekmouvwxzz'$	α_3
$bdpqrswyz'z''$	α_2	$abckptvxz$	α_1	$bdetvwxxzz'$	β_4	$bdjpqrswz'z''$	β_3	$cegikmtuvx$	α_3	$ekmuvvwxyz'z''$	α_3
$blmnoqrsyz'$	α_2	$abcinvxxyz''$	α_2	$ahijoqrswz'z''$	α_1	$bdkptvwxxzz'$	β_{21}	$cegkmtuvx$	α_3	$elmoqrstuz'$	α_3
$bmopgrsyz'z''$	α_2	$abcljopqsv$	α_1	$ahijprwxyz'z''$	α_1	$bdopqrstz'z''$	γ_6	$cfgijlmpq$	β_9	$emogrstuz'z''$	α_3
$cdefgijkux$	α_4	$abkptvwxxzz'$	α_1	$ahjpvwxzz'z''$	α_1	$bdopqrstyz''$	α_2	$cfgihlmnqy$	β_9	$emoruxyz'z''$	α_3
$cdefgiktux$	β_{10}	$ablnoqrsyz'$	α_2	$ahjopqrswz'z''$	α_1	$bdpqstvwz'z''$	β_{24}	$cfgijlmnoq$	γ_{10}	$emotuvxz'z''$	α_3
$cefgijilmq$	α_3	$abnoqrstz'z''$	β_2	$ahnoqrsyz'z''$	β_2	$bdprwxyz'z''$	α_2	$cfgihlmnoqy$	β_{12}	$emouvxxyz'z''$	α_3
$cfgijilmnq$	β_9	$abnoqrswz'z''$	α_2	$ahnorxyz'z''$	β_{23}	$bdptvvwxzz'z''$	β_4	$cfgijlmnqu$	α_4	$emruvwxyz'z''$	α_3
$dgpqrswz'z''$	α_4	$abnoqrstz'z''$	β_2	$ahnvwxxyz'z''$	γ_2	$bdpwxyz'z''$	α_2	$cfgijlmnoqu$	α_4	$emtuvwxxzz'z''$	α_3
$djpqrswz'z''$	α_4	$abnoryxyz'z''$	α_2	$ahopqrswz'z''$	α_1	$belmoqrstz'$	α_3	$cfgilmnoqu$	β_{15}	$ghjlmnoqrz'$	β_{16}
$dkptuvwxzz'$	β_{21}	$abnotvxxzz'z''$	β_4	$ahpqrsyz'z''$	α_1	$belmoqrstz'$	α_2	$ceghijkmvx$	β_{13}	$ghlmnoqryz'$	β_{16}
$eghmrwxyz'z''$	α_3	$abnonyxyz'z''$	α_2	$ajkpnuvwzz'$	α_1	$bemoqrstz''$	α_3	$cghijklmnqv$	β_{18}	$ghlmopqrz'$	β_{16}
$ehmrwxyz'z''$	α_3	$abnrwxyz'z''$	α_2	$ajknuvwxzz'$	α_1	$bemoqrswyz'z''$	α_2	$cghikmnmvx$	β_{13}	$gjlmnoqrz'$	α_4
$ekmotuvxz'$	α_3	$abntvwxxzz'z''$	β_4	$ajkpnuvwzz'$	α_1	$blmopqrswz'$	α_2	$cgijkmnuvx$	α_4	$gjlmopqrz'$	α_4
$ekmtuvwxzz'$	α_3	$abnvwxyz'z''$	α_2	$ajnpuvwxzz'z''$	α_1	$bmnoqrswyz'z''$	α_2	$cgijlmnqv$	α_4	$gjmopqrz'$	α_4
$emuuvwxxyz'z''$	α_3	$abopqrstz'z''$	α_1	$ajpuvwxxzz'z''$	α_1	$bmpqrstwz'z''$	β_3	$cgikmmtuvx$	β_{13}	$gjmpqrwuz'z''$	α_4
$hlmnoqrsyz'$	β_{22}	$abopqrswz'z''$	α_1	$akpuvwxyz'z''$	α_1	$bmpqrswyz'z''$	α_2	$cgijlmnoquv$	α_4	$hjmnrvwxzz'z''$	γ_{20}
$jlmnoqrsuz'$	α_4	$abopqstvz'z''$	α_1	$anoruxyz'z''$	β_{23}	$bmpqstvwz'z''$	β_{24}	$cgkmnotuvx$	β_{13}	$hjmnvwxxzz'z''$	β_{20}
$jlmnoqsuvz'$	α_4	$abpqrstwz'z''$	α_1	$anruwxyz'z''$	β_1	$cefgilmqy$	β_{12}	$cglmnoqtvu$	β_{15}	$hlmopqrswz'$	β_{22}
$jmopqrswz'z''$	α_4	$abpqrszwyz'z''$	α_1	$apruwxyz'z''$	α_1	$cefgqhoqyz''$	β_{12}	$chijkmnvxz$	β_{19}	$hmnoqrsyz'z''$	γ_{22}
$abcefgkotx$	β_{11}	$abpqstwz'z''$	α_1	$aptuvwxzz'z''$	α_1	$cefgilmq$	α_4	$chikmnxyz$	γ_{10}	$hmnorxyz'z''$	β_{23}
$abcfgilmq$	β_{18}	$abprwxyz'z''$	α_1	$bcdefgkotx$	β_1	$cefgikuxy$	β_{10}	$cijkmnuvxz$	α_4	$jkmnuvwxxzz'$	α_4
$acehivxyz''$	β_6	$abptvwxxzz'z''$	α_1	$bcdefgkox$	α_2	$cefgjiloqu$	α_4	$deghoqrys'z''$	β_8	$jlmopqrswz'$	α_4
$bcdfljopqsz''$	β_5	$abpvwxzz'z''$	α_1	$bcdefgloqt$	γ_{11}	$cefgkotux$	β_{11}	$degtktuvwxz'$	β_{14}	$jlmopqsuvz'$	α_4
$degkuvwxzz'$	β_{14}	$acefgijkux$	α_4	$bcdefgloqy$	α_2	$cefgloqut$	β_{15}	$dehoqrys'z''$	β_8	$jmnnoqrsuz'z''$	α_4
$ghjlmopqrz'$	β_{16}	$acefgiktux$	β_{10}	$bcdefgqoyz''$	β_{12}	$cefgloqstu$	β_7	$deoqrstuz'z''$	β_8	$jmnnoqsvuz'z''$	α_4
$mnoruxyz'z''$	β_{23}	$acefgkotux$	β_{11}	$bcdefjilos$	β_7	$cegijkmv$	α_4	$deqrstuwz'z''$	γ_{12}	$jmnuvvwxxzz'z''$	α_4
$mpqstuvwz'z''$	β_{24}	$acehjikvxz$	β_{19}	$bcdefqosz''$	β_3	$cegikmtuvx$	γ_9	$dghjpuvwxz'z''$	β_{20}	$jmapqsvuz'z''$	α_4
$abcefgikxy$	α_2	$acehjvxzz'z''$	β_6	$bcdefloqsy$	α_2	$cdfgijkpux$	α_4	$dgjkuvwxxzz'z''$	α_4	$jmpqrswz'z''$	α_4
$abcefgixyz''$	α_2	$aceijkuvxz$	α_4	$bcdefoqstz''$	β_5	$cdfgijlpqu$	α_4	$dgjopqrz'z''$	α_4	$jmpqsvuvwz'z''$	α_4
$abcefgkoxy$	α_2	$acfghilnqy$	γ_4	$bcdefoqsz''$	α_2	$cdfgikptux$	β_{10}	$dgjpuvwxxzz'z''$	α_4	$kmptuvwxzz'$	β_{21}
$abcflopst$	β_7	$acfgjlopq$	α_1	$bcdegikvxy$	α_2	$cdfgjilopqu$	α_4	$dgkptuvwxz'$	β_{14}	$lmnoqrsuyz'$	β_{22}

Using the results of [1, 18] and Table 2, the following proposition can be deduced.

Proposition 5. *If a set of 20 trinucleotides is a self-complementary circular code then either its two permuted sets are both circular codes or its two permuted sets are both non-circular codes.*

Proof. Let X_0 be a self-complementary circular code among the 528 ones. If X_0 is one of the 216 C^3 self-complementary circular codes then both its permuted sets X_1 and X_2 are circular codes [1, 18]. If X_0 is one of the 312 $\overline{C^3}$ self-complementary circular codes then a “forbidden configuration” (a 5LDCN necklace) is identified (Table 2). If this “forbidden configuration” is a doublet (triplet and quadruplet, respectively) then Proposition 2 (3 and 4, respectively) applies. All the 312 $\overline{C^3}$ circular codes X_0 have a “forbidden configuration” proving that their permuted sets X_1 and X_2 are all non-circular codes.

Acknowledgment

The second author thanks the Dipartimento di matematica “U. Dini” for giving him a friendly hospitality.

References

- [1] D. G. Arquès and C. J. Michel, A complementary circular code in the protein coding genes, *J. Theo. Biol.* 182 (1996), 45-58.
- [2] D. G. Arquès and C. J. Michel, A circular code in the protein coding genes of mitochondria, *J. Theo. Biol.* 189 (1997), 273-290.
- [3] F. Bassino, Generating function of circular codes, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 22 (1999), 1-24.
- [4] M.-P. Béal and J. Senellart, On the bound of the synchronization delay of a local automaton, *Theo. Comput. Sci.* 205 (1998), 297-306.
- [5] J. Berstel and D. Perrin, *Theory of Codes*, Academic Press, London, UK, 1985.
- [6] F. H. C. Crick, J. S. Griffith and L. E. Orgel, Codes without commas, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 43 (1957), 416-421.
- [7] G. Frey and C. J. Michel, Circular codes in archaeal genomes, *J. Theo. Biol.* 223 (2003), 413-431.
- [8] G. Frey and C. J. Michel, Identification of circular codes in bacterial genomes and their use in a factorization method for retrieving the reading frames of genes, *Comput. Biol. Chem.* 30 (2006), 87-101.

- [9] S. W. Golomb, B. Gordon and L. R. Welch, Comma-free codes, *Canad. J. Math.* 10 (1958), 202-209.
- [10] S. W. Golomb, L. R. Welch and M. Delbrück, Construction and properties of comma-free codes, *Biologiske Meddel Danske Vidensk Selsk* 23 (1958), 1-34.
- [11] R. Jolivet and F. Rothen, Peculiar symmetry of DNA sequences and evidence suggesting its evolutionary origin in a primeval genetic code, In First European Workshop in Exo-/Astro-Biology, Editors, P. Ehrenfreund, O. Angerer and B. Battrick, Noordwijk, The Netherlands, 2001, pp. 173-176.
- [12] M. V. José, T. Govezensky, J. A. García and J. R. Bobadilla, On the evolution of the standard genetic code: Vestiges of critical scale invariance from the RNA world in current prokaryote genomes, *PLoS One* 4 (2009), e4340.
- [13] A. J. Koch and J. Lehman, About a symmetry of the genetic code, *J. Theo. Biol.* 189 (1997), 171-174.
- [14] J. L. Lassez, Circular codes and synchronization, *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci.* 5 (1976), 201-208.
- [15] J. L. Lassez, R. A. Rossi and A. E. Bernal, Crick's hypothesis revisited: The existence of a universal coding frame, *Proc. 21st Int. Conf. Adv. Inf. Net. Appl. Workshops/Symposia (AINAW'07)* 2 (2007), 745-751.
- [16] E. E. May, M. A. Vouk, D. L. Bitzer and D. I. Rosnick, An error-correcting framework for genetic sequence analysis, *J. Franklin Inst.* 341 (2004), 89-109.
- [17] C. J. Michel, G. Pirillo and M. A. Pirillo, Varieties of comma-free codes, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 55 (2008), 989-996.
- [18] C. J. Michel, G. Pirillo and M. A. Pirillo, A relation between trinucleotide comma-free codes and trinucleotide circular codes, *Theo. Comput. Sci.* 401 (2008), 17-26.
- [19] C. Nikolaou and Y. Almirantis, Mutually symmetric and complementary triplets: Difference in their use distinguish systematically between coding and non-coding genomic sequences, *J. Theo. Biol.* 223 (2003), 477-487.
- [20] G. Pirillo, A characterization for a set of trinucleotides to be a circular code, in Determinism, Holism and Complexity, Editors, C. Pellegrini, P. Cerrai, P. Freguglia, V. Benci and G. Israel, Kluwer, Boston, Mass., USA, 2003.
- [21] G. Pirillo, A hierarchy for circular codes, *Theo. Inf. Appl.* 42 (2008), 717-728.
- [22] G. Pirillo, Some remarks on prefix and suffix codes, *Pure Math. Appl.* 19 (2008), 53-59.
- [23] G. Pirillo, Non sharing border codes, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 3 (2010), 215-223.
- [24] G. Pirillo and M. A. Pirillo, Growth function of self-complementary circular codes, *Bio. Forum.* 98 (2005), 97-110.
- [25] N. Štambuk, On circular coding properties of gene and protein sequences, *Croatica Chemica Acta* 72 (1999), 999-1008.